arXiv:1612.03327v1 [math.FA] 10 Dec 2016

Yosida duality

Bas Westerbaan
Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen
bas@westerbaan.name

December 13, 2016

Abstract

In this note we prove Yosida duality — that is: the category of compact Hausdorff spaces with continuous maps is dually equivalent to the category of uniformly complete Archimedean Riesz spaces with distinguished units and unit-preserving Riesz homomorphisms between them.

For a compact Hausdorff space X, write $C(X)$ for the vector space of real-valued continuous functions on it. The space $C(X)$ contains sufficient additional structure to reconstruct X from it. In fact, there are several ways to do it.

One particularly well-known method is using Gel'fand-duality: it states that any commutative unital C*-algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of *complex valued* continuous functions on some up-to-isomorphism unique compact Hausdorff spaces. The categorical corollary is that the category of unital C*-algebras with unital $*$-homomorphisms is dually equivalent to that of compact Hausdorff spaces.

The proof of Gel'fand's Theorem is rather involved — in this note we will present a lesser known method due to Yosida[Yos42] which will lead to a different duality. Gel'fand uses the product on $C(X)$ to reconstruct X. Yosida instead uses the lattice order. At the end of this note, we will have shown that the category of compact Hausdorff spaces is dually equivalent to the category of uniformly complete Archimedean unitary Riesz spaces.

The present proof is based on a lecture series given by A. van Rooij in 2011 of which notes can be found here[vR11]. The present text takes a short path to Yosida duality; for a broad treatment of Riesz spaces, see e.g. [vR11, DJR77, Zaa12].

1 Riesz spaces

We will first define Riesz spaces and derive their elementary theory.

Definition 1. 1. A real **ordered vector space** E is a real vector space with a partial order $\leq$ such that for all $x, y \in E$ with $x \leq y$ we have

(a) $x + a \leq y + a$ for any $a \in E$ and

(b) $\lambda \cdot x \leq \lambda \cdot y$ for all scalars $\lambda \geq 0$.

2. A **Riesz space** is a real ordered vector space that is a lattice (i.e. all finite infima and suprema exist.)

3. A linear map $\varphi\colon E \to E'$ between Riesz spaces is called a **Riesz homomorphism** if φ preserves finite infima and suprema.

Example 2. 1. For any topological space X, the vector space $C(X)$ of continuous real-valued functions on X is a Riesz space.

2. Let $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ be the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{R}^2$ — that is

$$(x, y) \leq_{\text{lex}} (x', y') \quad \iff \quad x < x' \text{ or } (x = x' \text{ and } y \leq y').$$

Then $\mathbb{R}^2$ ordered by $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ is a Riesz space.

In a Riesz space the lattice operations interact nicely with other structure:

Lemma 3. *For a Riesz space E with elements $x, y, a \in E$ it holds*

1. $\lambda(x \vee y) = (\lambda x) \vee (\lambda y)$ *for scalars* $\lambda \geq 0$
2. $-(x \vee y) = (-x) \wedge (-y)$
3. $(x + a) \vee (y + a) = (x \vee y) + a$
4. $x \vee y + x \wedge y = x + y$
5. $(x \wedge y) \vee a = (x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a)$

Proof. The maps $x \mapsto \lambda x$ and $x \mapsto x + a$ are order isomorphisms (if $\lambda > 0$) and these preserve suprema, hence 1 and 3. Negation is an order anti-isomorphism and so 2. Point 4 follows from the previous two:

$$(x \vee y) - x - y \overset{3}{=} (x - x - y) \vee (y - x - y) = (-y) \vee (-x) \overset{2}{=} -(x \wedge y).$$

In every lattice $(x \wedge y) \vee a \leq (x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a)$. For the other inequality, note

$$(x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a) \leq x \vee a \overset{4}{=} x + a - x \wedge a \leq x + a - x \wedge y \wedge a$$

and so $(x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a) + x \wedge y \wedge a - a \leq x$. Similarly $(x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a) + x \wedge y \wedge a - a \leq y$. And so $(x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a) + x \wedge y \wedge a - a \leq x \wedge y$.

$$(x \vee a) \wedge (y \vee a) \leq x \wedge y + a - x \wedge y \wedge a \overset{4}{=} (x \wedge y) \vee a. \qquad \square$$

The lattice-order on a Riesz space gives quite some structure.

Definition 4. For any Riesz space E, define

1. the **absolute value** $|x| := x \vee (-x)$ for $x, y \in E$;

2. the **positive cone** $E^+ := \{e \in E; e \geq 0\}$;

3. **orthogonality** $x \perp y :\Leftrightarrow |x| \wedge |y| = 0$ for $x, y \in E$ *and*

4. the **positive** $x^+ := x \vee 0$ and **negative parts** $x^- := (-x) \vee 0$.

Note that in $C(X)$ the absolute value is point-wise; $C(X)^+$ are the positive valued functions *and* $f \perp g$ iff f and g have disjoint support.

Lemma 5. *For any Riesz space E with $x, y \in E$ we have*

1. $x = x^+ - x^-$
2. $|x| = x^+ + x^-$
3. $|x| \geq 0$
4. $|x| = x^+ \vee x^-$
5. $x^+ \perp x^-$
6. $|\lambda x| = |\lambda||x|$
7. $|x| = 0 \implies x = 0$
8. $(x+y)^+ \leq x^+ + y^+$
9. $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$
10. $x \vee y = \frac{x+y}{2} + \left|\frac{x-y}{2}\right|$

Proof. As $x = x + 0 = x \vee 0 + x \wedge 0 = x \vee 0 - (-x) \vee 0 = x^+ - x^-$ we have 1. So

$$x^+ + x^- = 2x^+ - x = 2(x \vee 0) - x = (2x) \vee 0 - x = (2x - x) \vee (-x) = |x|$$

hence 2. Clearly $0 \leq 0 \vee x = x^+$ and similarly $0 \leq x^-$. So by the previous point $0 \leq x^+ + x^- = |x|$ hence 3. So $|x| = |x| \vee 0 = x \vee (-x) \vee 0 = (x \vee 0) \vee ((-x) \vee 0) = x^+ \vee x^-$, which is point 4. Also $x^+ + x^- = x^+ \vee x^- + x^+ \wedge x^-$ thus we must have $x^+ \wedge x^- = 0$ viz point 5. For $\lambda \geq 0$ we have $|\lambda||x| = \lambda(x \vee (-x)) = (\lambda x) \vee (-\lambda x) = |\lambda x|$ and as $|x| = |-x|$ we establish 6. Note $-|x| \leq x \leq |x|$ and so if $|x| = 0$ we have $x = 0$ i.e. point 7. Always $x \leq x^+$. So $x + y \leq x^+ + y^+$. Hence $(x+y)^+ \leq (x^+ + y^+)^+ = x^+ + y^+$ so 8. Similarly $(x+y)^- \leq x^- + x^-$. So 9 follows: $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$. From Lemma 3 point 3 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} x + y + |x - y| &= x + y + (x - y) \vee (y - x) \\ &= (x + y + x - y) \vee (x + y + y - x) = 2(x \vee y), \end{aligned}$$

which (together with pt. 2) shows pt. 10 and completes the proof. □

Lemma 6 (Riesz Decomposition Lemma)**.** *Let E be any Riesz space. For any positive $x, a, b \in E^+$ with $x \leq a + b$ there is a decomposition $x = a' + b'$ with $0 \leq a' \leq a$ and $0 \leq b' \leq b$.*

Proof. Define $a' := x \wedge a$ and $b' := x - x \wedge a$. Clearly $x = a' + b'$ and $a' \leq a$. We have to show $b' \leq b$. Note $b' = x - x \wedge a = x \vee a - a$. By assumption $x \leq a + b$ and so $x \vee a \leq (a + b) \vee a = a + b$ hence $b' \equiv x \vee a - a \leq b$. □

2 Riesz subspaces and ideals

To construct Riesz homomorphisms into $\mathbb{R}$ we study Riesz ideals.

Definition 7. Let E be a Riesz space. A subset $D \subseteq E$ is called a **Riesz ideal** if it is the kernel of some Riesz homomorphism. The ideal D is said to be **proper** when $D \neq E$. The set $D \subseteq E$ is called a **Riesz subspace** if $x \vee y, x \wedge y \in D$ whenever $x, y \in D$.

Lemma 8. *A linear subspace $D \subseteq E$ is a Riesz subspace if and only if $x^+ \in D$ whenever $x \in D$.*

Proof. If D is a Riesz subspace, then clearly $x^+ = x \vee 0 \in D$ whenever $x \in D$. The converse follows from $x \vee y = \frac{x+y}{2} + \left|\frac{x-y}{2}\right|$ and $|x| = x^+ + (-x)^+$. □

Lemma 9. *Given a Riesz space E and a $D \subseteq E$, then TFAE:*

1. *D is a Riesz ideal.*
2. *D is a linear subspace and for all $a \in D$ and $x \in E$:*

$$\text{if } |x| \leq |a|, \text{ then } x \in D.$$

3. *D is a Riesz subspace and for all $a \in D^+$ and $x \in E^+$:*

$$\text{if } x \leq a, \text{ then } x \in D.$$

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. Assume D is a Riesz ideal, say it is the kernel of a Riesz homomorphism f. Clearly D is a linear subspace. Assume $|x| \leq |a|$ for some $x \in E$ and $a \in D$. Note Riesz homomorphisms preserve absolute values as $|x| \equiv x \vee (-x)$ and so $|f(x)| = f(|x|) \leq f(|a|) = |f(a)| = 0$ hence $f(x) = 0$. Thus $x \in D$, as desired.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. Assume D is a linear subspace for which $x \in D$ whenever $|x| \leq |a|$ for some $a \in D$. We only need to show D is a Riesz subspace. Assume $a \in D$. We have to show $a^+ \in D$. Recall $a^+ \leq a^+ + a^- = |a|$ and so $a^+ \in D$, as desired.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$. Consider the linear quotient $q\colon E \to E/_D$. We will turn $E/_D$ into a Riesz space. We want to use $q(E^+)$ as positive cone for a partial order on $E/_D$; that is we define $q(x) \leq q(y) \iff q(y) - q(x) \in q(E^+)$. This turns $E/_D$ into a ordered vector space if $q(E^+)$ is closed under addition, scalar multiplication by positive reals and if we have $q(a), -q(a) \in q(E^+) \Rightarrow q(a) = q(0)$. Clearly $q(E^+)$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication by positive reals. Note $q(a) \in q(E^+)$ iff there is $d \in D$ with $d \leq a$. To show the last condition, assume $q(a), -q(a) \in q(E^+)$. Then $d \leq a$ and $d' \leq -a$ for some $d, d' \in D$. Hence $0 \leq a - d \leq -d' - d$. By assumption on D we find $a - d \in D$. Thus $d \in D$ and $q(a) = q(0)$. Indeed $q(E^+)$ is a positive cone and we can order $E/_D$ using it. Automatically q is order preserving.

To show $E/_D$ is a Riesz space assume $q(x), q(y) \in E/_D$. Clearly $q(x \wedge y) \leq q(x)$ and $q(x \wedge y) \leq q(y)$. Assume there is a $q(a) \in E/_D$ with $q(a) \leq$

$q(x)$ and $q(a) \leq q(y)$. Then $x - c \geq d$ and $y - c \geq d'$ for some $d, d' \in D$. Consequently $x \wedge y - c = (x - c) \wedge (y - c) \geq d \wedge d'$. As $d \wedge d' \in D$ we see $q(c) \leq q(x \wedge y)$. We have shown $E/_D$ has pairwise infima. As $x \mapsto -x$ is an order anti-isomorpism we see $E/_D$ has pairwise suprema as well. We also saw q is a Riesz homomorphism and by construction D is its kernel. □

Lemma 10. *For any $a \in E$ the set $a^\perp := \{x;\ x \in E;\ x \perp a\}$ is a Riesz ideal.*

Proof. We will first prove that $a^\perp$ is a linear subspace. Let $x \in a^\perp$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Then $0 \leq |\lambda x| \wedge |a| = |\lambda||x| \wedge |a| \leq |x| \wedge |a| = 0$ and so $\lambda x \perp a$. In the other case $|\lambda| \geq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq 0$ and so $|\frac{\lambda}{n}| \leq 1$. By the previous $|\frac{\lambda}{n}| \wedge |a| = 0$. Hence $0 = |\lambda| \wedge (n|a|) \geq |\lambda| \wedge |a|$, as desired.

Let $x, y \in a^\perp$. By distributivity $(|x| \vee |y|) \wedge |a| = (|x| \wedge |a|) \vee (|y| \wedge |a|) = 0$ so $|x| \vee |y| \perp a$. By the previous $2(|x| \vee |y|) \perp a$. Thus

$$|x + y| \wedge |a| \leq (|x| + |y|) \wedge |a| \leq (2(|x| \vee |y|)) \wedge |a| = 0.$$

Thus $a^\perp$ is a linear subspace. To show $a^\perp$ is a Riesz ideal, we will demonstrate condition 3 from Lemma 9. Assume $0 \leq x \leq y \in a^\perp$. Then $0 \leq x \wedge |a| \leq y \wedge |a| = 0$ and so $x \in a^\perp$ — it remains to be shown that $a^\perp$ is a Riesz subspace. It is sufficient to show $x^+ \in a^\perp$ whenever $x \in a^\perp$. Indeed from

$$0 = |x| \wedge |a| = (x^+ \vee x^-) \wedge |a| = (x^+ \wedge |a|) \vee (x^- \wedge |a|)$$

it follows $x^+ \perp a$ as desired. □

3 Units and their norms

Definition 11. 1. An element $u \in E^+$ is called an (order) **unit** if for every $x \in E$ there exists a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x| < n \cdot u$. A Riesz space is called **unitary** if it has a unit.

2. An element $\varepsilon \in E$ is called **infinitesimal** if there is a $b \in E$ such that $n \cdot \varepsilon \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A Riesz space is called **Archimedean** if its only infinitesimal is 0.

Definition 12. Given an Riesz space E with unit $u \in E$ write

$$\|x\|_u := \inf\{\lambda;\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+;\ |x| \leq \lambda u\}.$$

Lemma 13. *Let E be a Riesz space with units e, u.*

1. *$\| \ \|_u$ is a seminorm.*
2. *If $\|x\|_u = 0$, then x is infinitesimal.*
3. *If E is Archimedean, then $\| \ \|_u$ is a norm and $|x| \leq \|x\|_u u$.*
4. *$\| \ \|_e$ and $\| \ \|_u$ are equivalent.*

Proof. First we'll show $\| \ \|_u$ is a seminorm. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For any $x \in E$ we have $|x| \leq (\|x\|_u + \varepsilon)u$. So $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b| \leq (\|a\|_u + \|b\|_u + 2\varepsilon)u$. Hence $\|a + b\|_u \leq \|a\|_u + \|b\|_u + 2\varepsilon$. Thus, as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the triangle inequality holds for $\| \ \|_u$.

Now we'll show the absolute homogeneity. Let $\mu > 0$ be given. With $|\mu a| = |\mu||a|$ and similar reasoning as before we see $\|\mu a\|_u \leq |\mu|\|a\|_u$. To prove the other inequality first note that for any $\|a\|_u \geq \varepsilon > 0$ we have $|a| \not\leq (\|a\|_u - \varepsilon)u$. Thus $|\mu a| = |\mu||a| \not\leq (|\mu|\|a\|_u - |\mu|\varepsilon)u$. As we can make $|\mu|\varepsilon$ arbitrarily small we see $\|\mu a\|_u \geq |\mu|\|a\|_u$. This gives absolute homogeneity except for the case $\mu = 0$, which is trivially true. Finally, by definition $\| \ \|_u$ is positive, so it's a seminorm.

Assume $\|x\|_u = 0$. Then $|x| \leq \frac{1}{n}u$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 0$. Hence $n|x| \leq u$ Now, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $mx \leq |mx| = |m||x| \leq u$ and so x is infinitesimal.

If E is Archimedean then directly by the previous we see $\| \ \|_u$ is a norm. Now we show $|a| \leq \|a\|_u u$. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq 0$. Then $|a| \leq (\|a\|_u + \frac{1}{n})u = \|a\|_u u + \frac{1}{n}u$. By taking the infimum over $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, we see it suffices to show $\inf\{\frac{1}{n}u; \ n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\} = 0$. Clearly 0 is a lower-bound. Assume $b \in E$ is another lower-bound, i.e. $b \leq \frac{1}{n}u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Then $b^+ \leq (\frac{1}{n}u)^+ = \frac{1}{n}u$ so $nb^+ \leq \frac{1}{n}u$ and $-nb^+ \leq 0 \leq \frac{1}{n}u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus b^+ is infinitesimal: so $b^+ = 0$ and $b \leq 0$, as desired.

Finally we show point 4. As for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $|x| \leq (\|x\|_u + \varepsilon)u$ we get $\|x\|_e \leq (\|x\|_u + \varepsilon)\|u\|_e$ and so $\|x\|_e \leq \|x\|_u\|u\|_e$. Similarly$\|x\|_u \leq \|x\|_e\|e\|_u$ and so $\frac{1}{\|e\|_u}\|x\|_u \leq \|x\|_e \leq \|u\|_e\|x\|_u$, which shows $\| \ \|_u$ and $\| \ \|_e$ are equivalent norms. □

Lemma 14. *Any non-zero Riesz homomorphism $f\colon E \to E'$ between unital Archimedean Riesz spaces is continuous with respect to the norms induced by any unit.*

Proof. Pick any unit $u \in E$ and $u' \in E'$. As all unit norms are equivalent it is sufficient to show f is continuous with respect to $\| \ \|_u$ and $\| \ \|_{u'}$. If $\|f(u)\|_{u'} = 0$, then $f(u) = 0$ and so $f = 0$ as $-\|x\|_u u \leq x \leq \|x\|_u u$ for any $x \in E$. Thus $\|f(u)\|_{u'} > 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. If $\|x - y\|_u \leq \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ then $|x - y| \leq \delta u$ and so $|f(x) - f(y)| = f(|x - y|) \leq \delta f(u) = \delta|f(u)| \leq \delta\|f(u)\|_{u'}u'$. Thus it is sufficient to choose $\delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f(u)\|_{u'}}$. □

Definition 15. An Archimedean unitary Riesz space is called **uniformly complete** if $\| \ \|_u$ is complete for some unit $u \in E$.

As order-unit norms are equivalent we know that if E is complete with respect to one unit, then it is complete with respect to all units.

Definition 16. We write $\mathsf{CAURiesz}$ for the category of uniformly complete Archimedean Riesz spaces with distinguished unit as objects and Riesz homomorphisms that preserve the selected unit as arrows between.

4 Maximal Riesz ideals

The real numbers form a totally ordered Archimedean Riesz space and is, in fact, the only one. This Proposition will play the same rôle as the Banach–Mazur Theorem in the development of Gel'fand Duality.

Proposition 17. *If E is a Riesz space with more than one element, then TFAE.*

1. $E \cong \mathbb{R}$
2. *The only ideals of E are $\{0\}$ and E.*
3. *E is totally ordered and Archimedean.*

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. Direct.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. First we will prove E is totally ordered. Assume $x, y \in E$. Then $J = \{v \in E;\ v \perp (x-y)^+\}$ is a Riesz ideal by Lemma 10. If $J = \{0\}$ then since $(x-y)^- \perp (x-y)^+$, we know $(x-y)^- = 0$ and thus $0 \leq x-y$. Hence $x \geq y$. In the other case: if $J = E$, then $(x-y)^+ \perp (x-y)^+$ and thus $(x-y)^+ = 0$. Hence $x - y = -(x-y)^- \leq 0$ and thus $x \leq y$.

Now we will prove E is Archimedean. Assume ε is an infinitesimal of E. We will show $\varepsilon = 0$. Let $b \in E$ such that $n|\varepsilon| \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note $J := \{x \in E;\ n|x| \leq b \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Riesz ideal. If $J = \{0\}$ we are done. In the other case $J = E$. Then $b \in J$ and $n|b| \leq b \leq n|b|$ for all $n \neq 0$. Thus $2|b| = b = |b|$ so $|\varepsilon| \leq b = 0$ and we are done.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$. Pick any $a > 0$. The map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda a$ is a linear order isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ onto a 1-dimensional subspace of E. We are done if this subspace is E itself. Let $b \in E^+$. Define $r := \sup\{r;\ r \in \mathbb{R}^+;\ rb \leq a\}$. As E is Archimedean, there is a n with $nb \not\leq a$. Thus $nb \geq a$ and so $r < \infty$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we must have $(r+\varepsilon)b > a$ as E is totally ordered. Taking infimum over $\varepsilon > 0$ we see $a = rb$ thus indeed E is 1-dimensional. □

An important corollary is the following:

Proposition 18. *Given an Archimedean Riesz space E with unit e. For every $a \in E$, $a \neq 0$, there is a Riesz homomorphism $\varphi\colon E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(e) = 1$ and $\varphi(a) \neq 0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to find such φ for $|a|$ as $0 \neq \varphi(|a|) = |\varphi(a)|$ implies $\varphi(a) \neq 0$. Thus assume $a > 0$. As E is Archimedean, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $na \not\leq e$. That is $na - e \not\leq 0$. But $na - e \leq (na-e)^+$, so $(na-e)^+ \neq 0$.

Consider the proper Riesz ideal $J := \{x;\ x \perp (na-e)^+\}$. Find using Zorn's Lemma a maximal proper Riesz ideal $J_0 \supseteq J$. Then $E/_{J_0}$ contains precisely two ideals and must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Define φ_0 as the composition of this isomorphism with the quotient-map $E \to E/_{J_0} \cong \mathbb{R}$. Note $\varphi_0(e) > 0$ as otherwise $\varphi_0 = 0$. Define $\varphi := \frac{\varphi_0}{\varphi_0(e)}$. Clearly $\varphi(e) = 1$.

Note $(na-e)^- \in J$ and so $0 = \varphi((na-e)^-) = (n\varphi(a) - \varphi(e))^-$ which implies $n\varphi(a) - \varphi(e) = (n\varphi(a) - \varphi(a))^+ \geq 0$. Thus $n\varphi(a) \geq \varphi(e) > 0$ and so $\varphi(a) \neq 0$. □

5 Function spaces

Example 19. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $C(X)$ with the obvious pointwise operations and order, is a Riesz space. Since X is compact, all these functions are bounded, $\mathbb{1}(x) := 1$ is a unit and $\| \ \|_{\mathbb{1}}$ is a supnorm for which $C(X)$ is complete. It is also obvious that the zero function is the only infinitesimal. Thus $C(X)$ is Archimedean. Thus $C(X)$ with unit $\mathbb{1}$ is an object of CAURiesz.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $x \in X$. Then *point evaluation* $\delta_x \colon C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$ is a Riesz homomorphism into $\mathbb{R}$ with $\delta_x(\mathbb{1}) = 1$. Every unit-preserving Riesz homomorphism $C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ is of this form:

Proposition 20. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For any Riesz homomorphism $\varphi \colon C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi(\mathbb{1}) = 1$ there is a point $x \in X$ with $\varphi = \delta_x$.*

Proof. For any $f \in C(X)$ define $\tilde{f} := |f - \varphi(f)\mathbb{1}|$. If we can find an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f} := \{x;\ \tilde{f}(x) \neq 0\}$ for all $f \in C(X)$, then we are done as $\tilde{f}(x_0) = 0$ implies $\varphi(f) = f(x_0) = \delta_{x_0}(f)$. Note that by definition $\tilde{f} \geq 0$ and $\varphi(\tilde{f}) = 0$. For a single $f \in C(X)$ there must be an $x \in X$ with $x \notin \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}$ (i.e. $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$) for otherwise $\tilde{f} > 0$ and so by compactness $\tilde{f} > \varepsilon\mathbb{1}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ which gives $0 = \varphi(\tilde{f}) > \varepsilon$ quod non. Now, let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in C(X)$ be given. Write $g := \tilde{f}_1 \vee \ldots \vee \tilde{f}_n$. Note $\varphi(g) = 0$ and so there must also be an $x \in X$ with $g(x) = 0$. As $\operatorname{supp} g = \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}_n$ we see $x \notin \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus no finite subset of the family of open sets $\mathcal{A} := \{\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f};\ f \in C(X)\}$ can cover X. Thus by contraposition of compactness we see that $\cup\mathcal{A}$ cannot cover X. Hence there is an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}$ for any $f \in C(X)$ as desired. □

This Proposition is a cornerstone of the duality. Before we will look into that, we will proof a variant of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem in the language of Riesz spaces.

Theorem 21 (Stone-Weierstrass)**.** *For any compact Hausdorff space X and Riesz subspace D of $C(X)$ such that:*

1. *D is unital — that is: $\mathbb{1} \in D$*
2. *D separates the points — that is: for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ there is an $f \in D$ with $f(x) \neq f(y)$.*

Then: D is $\| \ \|_{\mathbb{1}}$-dense in $C(X)$.

Proof. Let $g \in C(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We will find a $g_0 \in D$ with $\|g - g_0\|_{\mathbb{1}} \leq \varepsilon$. By approximating g^+ and $-g^-$ separately we see we may assume without loss of generality $g \geq 0$. We will approximate g progressively in three steps.

Let $y, z \in X$ be any points with $y \neq z$. By assumption there is an $f \in D$ with $f(z) \neq f(y)$. Define $f_y := \frac{g(z)}{f(z) - f(y)}(f - f(y)\mathbb{1})$. Note $f_y(y) = 0$, $f_y(z) = g(z)$ and still $f_y \in D$.

Write $U_{f_y} := \{x;\ x \in X;\ f_y(x) < g(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$. Clearly both $z, y \in U_{f_y}$. Thus the U_{f_y} are an open cover of X. By compactness we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ such that $U_{y_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{y_n} = X$. Define $f'_z := f_{y_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{y_n}$. The map $f'_z \in D$ is a better approximation: $f'_z(z) = g(z)$ and $f'_z \leq g + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mathbb{1}$.

Write $V_{f'_z} := \{x;\ g(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < f'_z(x)\}$, which are also open sets with $z \in V_{f'_z}$. By compactness we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ with $V_{f'_{z_1}} \cup \cdots \cup V_{f'_{z_m}} = X$. Now define $g_0 := f'_{z_1} \vee \cdots \vee f'_{z_m}$. Note $g - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mathbb{1} \leq g_0 \leq g + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mathbb{1}$ and so $\|g_0 - g\|_{\mathbb{1}} \leq \varepsilon$. □

6 The spectrum

We can turn a compact Hausdorff space X into an Archimedean uniformly complete Riesz space $C(X)$ — how do we go the other way?

Definition 22. Let E be an Archimedean Riesz space with a unit u. The **spectrum** of E is defined to be the set

$$\Phi(E) := \{\varphi;\ \varphi\colon E \to \mathbb{R} \text{ Riesz homomorphism with } \varphi(u) = 1\}.$$

which is a topological space with induced topology of the inclusion $\Phi(E) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$ where we take the product topology on the latter.

At first glance, the spectrum seems to depend on the choice of unit. However:

Claim 23. *Let E be an Archimedean Riesz space with units $e, u \in E$. We will write $\Phi_e(E)$ and $\Phi_u(E)$ for the spectra respective to e and u.*
Then: $\Phi_e(E) \cong \Phi_u(E)$ via $\varphi \mapsto (x \mapsto \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(u)})$.

Lemma 24. *The spectrum $\Phi(E)$ is a compact Hausdorff space.*

Proof. As for any $x \in E$ it holds $-\|x\|_u \leq \varphi(x) \leq \|x\|_u$ we have the following inclusions of topological spaces

$$\Phi(E) \ \subseteq \ \prod_{x \in E} [-\|x\|_u, \|x\|_u] \ \subseteq \ \mathbb{R}^E.$$

The middle one is compact by Tychonoff's Theorem theorem. Thus if we can show $\Phi(E)$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^E$, we know $\Phi(E)$ is compact and Hausdorff.

Let $(\varphi_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\Phi(E)$ be a net converging to some φ in $\mathbb{R}^E$. As addition, infimum, supremum and scalar multiplication are continuous the map φ must be a Riesz homorphism as well. Trivially $\varphi(u) = \lim_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(u) = 1$. Thus indeed $\Phi(E)$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^E$ as desired. □

7 Yosida duality

We are almost ready to state and prove Yosida duality. First a notational matter: recall the objects of CAURiesz are pairs (E, u) of a uniformly complete Archimedean Riesz space E together with a distinguished unit $u \in E$. For brevity we'll simply write E and denote the implicit distinguished unit by u_E.

Theorem 25 (Yosida)**.** *C and Φ are categorically dual:*

1. *The map $X \mapsto C(X)$ extends to a functor $\mathsf{CHsdf} \to \mathsf{CAURiesz}^\partial$ taking $\mathbb{1}$ as unit on $C(X)$ and sending a continuous map $f\colon X \to Y$ to the Riesz homomorphism $C(f)\colon C(Y) \to C(X)$ given by $C(f)(g) = g \circ f$.*

2. *The map $E \mapsto \Phi(E)$ extends to a functor $\mathsf{CAURiesz} \to \mathsf{CHsdf}^\partial$ by mapping a Riesz homomorphism $f\colon E \to E'$ to $\Phi(f)\colon \Phi(E') \to \Phi(E)$ given by $\Phi(f)(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$.*

3. *We have $C\Phi \cong \mathrm{id}$ (every uniformly complete Archimedean Riesz space is naturally isomorphic to a continuous function space) and $\Phi C \cong \mathrm{id}$ (every compact Hausdorff space is naturally isomorphic to the spectrum of an Archimedean Riesz space) and so CHsdf and $\mathsf{CAURiesz}$ are dually equivalent.*

Proof. We first show 1 and 2.

1. We already saw $C(X)$ is in $\mathsf{CAURiesz}$ (Example 19 and that $\Phi(E)$ is in CHsdf (Lemma 24). Maps on arrows defined by pre-composition (such as C and Φ) are automatically functorial.

2. Clearly $C(f)(g) \equiv g \circ f$ is continuous as it is the composition of two continuous maps. To finish 1, we still have to check $C(f)$ is a Riesz homomorphism. It is additive: $C(f)(g+g')(x) = (g+g')\circ f(x) = g(f(x)) + g'(f(x)) = C(f)(g)(x) + C(f)(g)(x)$. In a similar fashion one checks $C(f)$ preserves scalar multiplication, $\vee$ and $\wedge$. Unit-preservation is different, but also simple: $C(f)(\mathbb{1})(x) = \mathbb{1} \circ f(x) = 1 = \mathbb{1}(x)$.

3. To wrap up 2 we need to check $\Phi(f)$ is a continuous map for all $f\colon E \to E'$. Let $(\varphi_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a net in $\Phi(E')$ converging to φ. In particular we have for any $x \in E$ that $\varphi_\alpha(f(x)) \to \varphi(f(x))$. Hence $\Phi(f)(\varphi_\alpha) \equiv \varphi_\alpha \circ f \to \varphi \circ f \equiv \Phi(f)(\varphi)$ in $\Phi(E)$, as desired.

Now we turn to the proof of $C\Phi \cong \mathrm{id}$. Let E be in $\mathsf{CAURiesz}$.

4. First we define what will turn out to be an isomorphism. For $x \in E$, define $\hat{x}$ (initially in $\mathbb{R}^{\Phi(E)}$) by $\hat{x}(\varphi) := \varphi(x)$. To prove $\hat{x}$ is continuous, assume $\varphi_\alpha \to \varphi$ is a converging net in $\Phi(E)$. Then $\hat{x}(\varphi_\alpha) = \varphi_\alpha(x) \to \varphi(x) = \hat{x}(\varphi)$, thus $\hat{x} \in C\Phi(E)$. For the moment, write $\hat{E} := \{\hat{x};\ x \in E\}$.

5. Note that $(\hat{x} + \hat{y})(\varphi) = \varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = \hat{x}(\varphi) + \hat{y}(\varphi)$ and thus $\hat{x} + \hat{y} = \widehat{x + y} \in \hat{E}$. The same reasoning applies to $\cdot$, $\wedge$ and $\vee$. Thus $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is a Riesz-homomorphism and $\hat{E}$, being its image, is a Riesz subspace of $C\Phi(E)$.

6. Suppose $x \in E$ and $x \neq 0$. Then by Proposition 18, there is a $\varphi \in \Phi(E)$ such that $\varphi(x) \neq 0$. Thus $\hat{x} \neq 0$. Thus $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is injective. Consequently $E \cong \hat{E}$.

7. Given $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi(E)$ with $\varphi \neq \psi$. By definition there is an $x \in E$ such that $\varphi(x) \neq \psi(x)$. Together with $\hat{u}_E(\varphi) = \varphi(u_E) = \mathbb{1}$, we conclude with the theorem of Stone-Weierstrass (see Thm. 21) that $\hat{E}$ is $\| \ \|_{\mathbb{1}}$-dense in $C\Phi(E)$. Since $E \cong \hat{E}$ is uniformly complete (i.e. $\| \ \|_{\mathbb{1}}$-complete) we find $C\Phi(E) \cong E$.

8. We finish with naturality. Assume $f\colon E \to E'$ in $\mathsf{CAURiesz}$, $x \in E$ and $\varphi \in \Phi(E')$. Expanding definitions we find $C\Phi(f)(\hat{x})(\varphi) = \hat{x}(\Phi(f)(\varphi)) = \hat{x}(\varphi \circ f) = \varphi \circ f(x) = \widehat{f(x)}(\varphi)$. Thus $C\Phi \cong \mathrm{id}$.

Now we prove the other direction: $\Phi C \cong \mathrm{id}$. Let $X \in \mathsf{CHsdf}$ be given.

9. Recall $\delta_x \in \Phi C(X)$ defined by $\delta_x(f) \equiv f(x)$. Write $\Delta(X) := \{\delta_x;\ x \in X\}$.

10. Suppose $x_\alpha \to x$ in X. Then for $f \in C(X)$ we have $\delta_{x_\alpha}(f) = f(x_\alpha) \to f(x) = \delta_x(f)$. Thus $x \mapsto \delta_x$ is continuous.

11. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ be given. By Urysohn's Lemma there is an $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Hence $\delta_x \neq \delta_y$. Thus $x \mapsto \delta_x$ is injective.

12. Assume $\varphi \in \Phi C(X)$. By Proposition 20 there is an $x \in X$ such that $\varphi = \delta_x$. Thus the map $x \mapsto \delta_x$ is surjective. Together with the previous two points we see $x \mapsto \delta_x$ is a continuous bijection between compact Hausdorff spaces and thus a homeomorphism.

13. The demonstration of naturality is the same as in the previous Proposition. Thus $\Phi C \cong \mathrm{id}$ as desired. □

Acknowledgements

Robert Furber kindly encouraged me to publish these notes. I am grateful to Abraham Westerbaan for his suggestions.

References

[DJR77] Ebbel De Jonge and Arnoud CM Rooij. *Introduction to Riesz spaces*, volume 78. Mathematisch Centrum, 1977.

[vR11] A.C.M. van Rooij. *Lecture notes on Riesz Spaces*. 2011. `https://github.com/awesterb/riesz-spaces`.

[Yos42] Kôsaku Yosida. 70. on the representation of the vector lattice. *Proceedings of the Imperial Academy*, 18(7):339–342, 1942.

[Zaa12] Adriaan C Zaanen. *Introduction to operator theory in Riesz spaces*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.